

# Quantum argument shift method for classical Lie algebras

Alexander Molev

University of Sydney

# Plan

# Plan

- ▶ Classical argument shift subalgebras

# Plan

- ▶ Classical argument shift subalgebras
- ▶ Vinberg's quantization problem

# Plan

- ▶ Classical argument shift subalgebras
- ▶ Vinberg's quantization problem
- ▶ Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

# Plan

- ▶ Classical argument shift subalgebras
- ▶ Vinberg's quantization problem
- ▶ Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras
- ▶ Quasi-derivations

# Symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$

## Symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\{X_i\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .



## Symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\{X_i\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The **symmetric algebra**  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is the algebra of polynomials in the variables  $X_i$ .

## Symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\{X_i\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The **symmetric algebra**  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is the algebra of polynomials in the variables  $X_i$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$Y \cdot X_1 \dots X_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_1 \dots [Y, X_i] \dots X_k, \quad Y, X_i \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

## Symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\{X_i\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The **symmetric algebra**  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is the algebra of polynomials in the variables  $X_i$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$Y \cdot X_1 \dots X_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_1 \dots [Y, X_i] \dots X_k, \quad Y, X_i \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The **subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants**

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \{P \in S(\mathfrak{g}) \mid Y \cdot P = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

is a subalgebra of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra and let  $n = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra and let  $n = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}[P_1, \dots, P_n]$$

for certain algebraically independent invariants  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra and let  $n = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}[P_1, \dots, P_n]$$

for certain algebraically independent invariants  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ .

Their respective degrees are  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ ,

the exponents of  $\mathfrak{g}$  increased by 1.

## Invariants in type $A$

## Invariants in type A

The commutation relations for  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ :

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{kj}E_{il} - \delta_{il}E_{kj}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$



## Invariants in type A

The commutation relations for  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ :

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{kj}E_{il} - \delta_{il}E_{kj}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Then  $S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$  is the algebra of polynomials in variables  $E_{ij}$ .

## Invariants in type A

The commutation relations for  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ :

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{kj}E_{il} - \delta_{il}E_{kj}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Then  $S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$  is the algebra of polynomials in variables  $E_{ij}$ .

Set

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & \dots & E_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ E_{N1} & \dots & E_{NN} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Write

$$\det(u \mathbf{1} + E) = u^N + \Delta_1 u^{N-1} + \cdots + \Delta_N,$$

Write

$$\det(u1 + E) = u^N + \Delta_1 u^{N-1} + \cdots + \Delta_N,$$

and

$$\det(1 - qE)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_k q^k.$$

Write

$$\det(u1 + E) = u^N + \Delta_1 u^{N-1} + \cdots + \Delta_N,$$

and

$$\det(1 - qE)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_k q^k.$$

We have

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)^{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N],$$

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)^{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N],$$

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)^{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_N],$$

Write

$$\det(u1 + E) = u^N + \Delta_1 u^{N-1} + \cdots + \Delta_N,$$

and

$$\det(1 - qE)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_k q^k.$$

We have

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)^{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N],$$

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)^{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N],$$

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)^{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_N],$$

where

$$\Theta_m = \text{tr } E^m.$$

## Invariants in types $B, C, D$

## Invariants in types $B, C, D$

Define the **orthogonal Lie algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}_N$  with  $N = 2n$  and

$$N = 2n + 1$$



## Invariants in types $B, C, D$

Define the orthogonal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}_N$  with  $N = 2n$  and

$N = 2n + 1$  and symplectic Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_N$  with  $N = 2n$

## Invariants in types $B, C, D$

Define the **orthogonal Lie algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}_N$  with  $N = 2n$  and  $N = 2n + 1$  and **symplectic Lie algebra**  $\mathfrak{sp}_N$  with  $N = 2n$  as subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$  spanned by the elements  $F_{ij}$ ,

$$F_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{j'i'} \quad \text{or} \quad F_{ij} = E_{ij} - \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j E_{j'i'}.$$

## Invariants in types $B, C, D$

Define the **orthogonal Lie algebra**  $\mathfrak{o}_N$  with  $N = 2n$  and  $N = 2n + 1$  and **symplectic Lie algebra**  $\mathfrak{sp}_N$  with  $N = 2n$  as subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$  spanned by the elements  $F_{ij}$ ,

$$F_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{j'i'} \quad \text{or} \quad F_{ij} = E_{ij} - \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j E_{j'i'}.$$

We use the involution  $i \mapsto i' = N - i + 1$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , and in the symplectic case we set

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ -1 & \text{for } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n. \end{cases}$$

Consider the matrix

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & \dots & F_{1N} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ F_{N1} & \dots & F_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

with entries in  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N$  or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_N$ .

Consider the matrix

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & \cdots & F_{1N} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ F_{N1} & \cdots & F_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

with entries in  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N$  or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_N$ . Write

$$\det(u1 + F) = u^{2n} + \Delta_2 u^{2n-2} + \cdots + \Delta_{2n} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n},$$

Consider the matrix

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & \cdots & F_{1N} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ F_{N1} & \cdots & F_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

with entries in  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N$  or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_N$ . Write

$$\det(u1 + F) = u^{2n} + \Delta_2 u^{2n-2} + \cdots + \Delta_{2n} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n},$$

and

$$\det(1 - qF)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2k} q^{2k} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N.$$

In the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ , the Pfaffian is defined by

$$\text{Pf } F = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot F_{\sigma(1) \sigma(2)'} \cdots F_{\sigma(2n-1) \sigma(2n)'}$$

In the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ , the Pfaffian is defined by

$$\text{Pf } F = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot F_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)'} \cdots F_{\sigma(2n-1)\sigma(2n)'}$$

We have

$$S(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})^{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \dots, \Phi_{2n}],$$



In the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ , the Pfaffian is defined by

$$\text{Pf } F = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot F_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)'} \cdots F_{\sigma(2n-1)\sigma(2n)'}$$

We have

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})^{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \dots, \Phi_{2n}],$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})^{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \dots, \Phi_{2n-2}, \text{Pf } F],$$

In the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ , the Pfaffian is defined by

$$\text{Pf } F = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot F_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)'} \cdots F_{\sigma(2n-1)\sigma(2n)'}$$

We have

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})^{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \dots, \Phi_{2n}],$$

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})^{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \dots, \Phi_{2n-2}, \text{Pf } F],$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_2, \Delta_4, \dots, \Delta_{2n}].$$

# Poisson algebras

## Poisson algebras

A **Poisson algebra**  $A$  is a commutative associative algebra equipped with a **Poisson bracket** which is a bilinear map

$$\{ , \} : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

satisfying the properties:

## Poisson algebras

A **Poisson algebra**  $A$  is a commutative associative algebra equipped with a **Poisson bracket** which is a bilinear map

$$\{ , \} : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

satisfying the properties:  $A$  is a Lie algebra with respect to this bracket,

## Poisson algebras

A **Poisson algebra**  $A$  is a commutative associative algebra equipped with a **Poisson bracket** which is a bilinear map

$$\{ , \} : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

satisfying the properties:  $A$  is a Lie algebra with respect to this bracket, and the **Leibniz rule**

$$\{x, yz\} = \{x, y\}z + y\{x, z\}$$

holds for any three elements  $x, y, z \in A$ .

In particular,

$$\{x, y\} = -\{y, x\},$$

and the **Jacobi identity** holds

$$\{x, \{y, z\}\} + \{y, \{z, x\}\} + \{z, \{x, y\}\} = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

In particular,

$$\{x, y\} = -\{y, x\},$$

and the **Jacobi identity** holds

$$\{x, \{y, z\}\} + \{y, \{z, x\}\} + \{z, \{x, y\}\} = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

The **Poisson center** of  $A$  is defined by

$$Z(A) = \{P \in A \mid \{x, P\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in A\}.$$

Clearly,  $Z(A)$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ .



# Poisson commutative subalgebras

## Poisson commutative subalgebras

The symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  admits the **Lie–Poisson bracket**

$$\{X_i, X_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ij}^k X_k, \quad X_i \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ basis elements.}$$

## Poisson commutative subalgebras

The symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  admits the **Lie–Poisson bracket**

$$\{X_i, X_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ij}^k X_k, \quad X_i \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ basis elements.}$$

The subalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides with the **Poisson center** of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , hence it is **Poisson commutative**:

## Poisson commutative subalgebras

The symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  admits the **Lie–Poisson bracket**

$$\{X_i, X_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ij}^k X_k, \quad X_i \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ basis elements.}$$

The subalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides with the **Poisson center** of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , hence it is **Poisson commutative**:

$$\{A, B\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } A, B \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

## Poisson commutative subalgebras

The symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  admits the **Lie–Poisson bracket**

$$\{X_i, X_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ij}^k X_k, \quad X_i \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ basis elements.}$$

The subalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides with the **Poisson center** of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , hence it is **Poisson commutative**:

$$\{A, B\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } A, B \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

**Integrability problem:** Extend  $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$  to a **large** Poisson commutative subalgebra of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ .

# Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

## Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Let  $P = P(X_1, \dots, X_l)$  be an element of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  of degree  $d$ .

## Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Let  $P = P(X_1, \dots, X_l)$  be an element of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  of degree  $d$ .

Fix any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and **shift the arguments**  $X_i \mapsto X_i + t\mu(X_i)$ ,

where  $t$  is a variable:



## Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Let  $P = P(X_1, \dots, X_l)$  be an element of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  of degree  $d$ .

Fix any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and **shift the arguments**  $X_i \mapsto X_i + t\mu(X_i)$ ,

where  $t$  is a variable:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + t\mu(X_1), \dots, X_l + t\mu(X_l)) \\ = P_{(0)} + P_{(1)}t + \dots + P_{(d)}t^d. \end{aligned}$$

## Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Let  $P = P(X_1, \dots, X_l)$  be an element of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  of degree  $d$ .

Fix any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and **shift the arguments**  $X_i \mapsto X_i + t\mu(X_i)$ ,

where  $t$  is a variable:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + t\mu(X_1), \dots, X_l + t\mu(X_l)) \\ = P_{(0)} + P_{(1)}t + \dots + P_{(d)}t^d. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  the subalgebra of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by all the  $\mu$ -**shifts**  $P_{(i)}$  associated with all invariants  $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Properties:

## Properties:

- ▶ The subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is Poisson commutative for any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$

[A. Mishchenko and A. Fomenko 1978].

## Properties:

- ▶ The subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is Poisson commutative for any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$   
[A. Mishchenko and A. Fomenko 1978].
- ▶ If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$  is **regular**, then  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is a free polynomial algebra [A. Bolsinov 1991;  
B. Feigin, E. Frenkel and V. Toledano Laredo 2010].

## Properties:

- ▶ The subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is Poisson commutative for any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  [A. Mishchenko and A. Fomenko 1978].
- ▶ If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$  is **regular**, then  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is a free polynomial algebra [A. Bolsinov 1991; B. Feigin, E. Frenkel and V. Toledano Laredo 2010].
- ▶ Moreover,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is a **maximal** Poisson commutative subalgebra of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  [D. Panyushev and O. Yakimova 2008].

**Example.** For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and consider  $\mu$  as the  $N \times N$  matrix

**Example.** For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and consider  $\mu$  as the  $N \times N$  matrix

$$\mu = [\mu_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N.$$



**Example.** For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and consider  $\mu$  as the  $N \times N$  matrix

$$\mu = [\mu_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N.$$

Expand

$$\det(u\mathbf{1} + E + t\mu) = u^N + \Delta_1(t)u^{N-1} + \cdots + \Delta_N(t)$$

with

$$\Delta_m(t) = \Delta_{m(0)} + \Delta_{m(1)}t + \cdots + \Delta_{m(m)}t^m.$$

**Example.** For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and consider  $\mu$  as the  $N \times N$  matrix

$$\mu = [\mu_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N.$$

Expand

$$\det(u\mathbf{1} + E + t\mu) = u^N + \Delta_1(t)u^{N-1} + \cdots + \Delta_N(t)$$

with

$$\Delta_m(t) = \Delta_{m(0)} + \Delta_{m(1)}t + \cdots + \Delta_{m(m)}t^m.$$

If  $\mu$  is regular, then the elements  $\Delta_{m(i)}$  with  $m = 1, \dots, N$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$  are free generators of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$ .

# Vinberg's problem

## Vinberg's problem

The universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  possesses a canonical filtration such that the associated graded algebra is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra,  $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})$ .

## Vinberg's problem

The universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  possesses a canonical filtration such that the associated graded algebra is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra,  $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})$ .

E. B. Vinberg 1990:

Is it possible to quantize the subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ ?

## Vinberg's problem

The universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  possesses a canonical filtration such that the associated graded algebra is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra,  $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})$ .

E. B. Vinberg 1990:

Is it possible to quantize the subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ ?

We would like to find a commutative subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (together with its free generators) such that  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$ .

A solution via Yangian approach: classical types with regular semisimple  $\mu$  [M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski 1996].

A solution via Yangian approach: classical types with regular semisimple  $\mu$  [M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski 1996].

A solution in type  $A$  with regular semisimple  $\mu$  via the symmetrization map [A. Tarasov 2000].



A solution via Yangian approach: classical types with regular semisimple  $\mu$  [M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski 1996].

A solution in type  $A$  with regular semisimple  $\mu$  via the symmetrization map [A. Tarasov 2000].

A solution for all types based on the vertex algebra theory [L. Rybnikov 2006, FFTL 2010].

The Feigin–Frenkel center  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  can be defined as the subalgebra of  $U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$

The **Feigin–Frenkel center**  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  can be defined as the subalgebra of  $U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$  which consists of all elements commuting with the **canonical Segal–Sugawara vector**

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^l X_i[-1]^2,$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_l$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $X_i[r] = X_i t^r$ .

The **Feigin–Frenkel center**  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  can be defined as the subalgebra of  $U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$  which consists of all elements commuting with the **canonical Segal–Sugawara vector**

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^l X_i[-1]^2,$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_l$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $X_i[r] = X_i t^r$ .

**Key property.**

The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$  is commutative.

# Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

## Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Given  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and nonzero  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the homomorphism

$$\varrho_{\mu,z} : U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}), \quad X[r] \mapsto Xz^r + \delta_{r,-1} \mu(X).$$

## Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Given  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and nonzero  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the homomorphism

$$\varrho_{\mu,z} : U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}), \quad X[r] \mapsto Xz^r + \delta_{r,-1}\mu(X).$$

The **quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra**  $\mathcal{A}_\mu \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined as the image of the Feigin–Frenkel center  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$  under the homomorphism  $\varrho_{\mu,z}$ .

## Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Given  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and nonzero  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the homomorphism

$$\varrho_{\mu,z} : U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}), \quad X[r] \mapsto Xz^r + \delta_{r,-1}\mu(X).$$

The **quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra**  $\mathcal{A}_\mu \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined as the image of the Feigin–Frenkel center  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$  under the homomorphism  $\varrho_{\mu,z}$ .

If  $\mu$  is regular, then  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  [FFTL 2010].



## Quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras

Given  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and nonzero  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the homomorphism

$$\varrho_{\mu,z} : U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}), \quad X[r] \mapsto Xz^r + \delta_{r,-1} \mu(X).$$

The **quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra**  $\mathcal{A}_\mu \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined as the image of the Feigin–Frenkel center  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$  under the homomorphism  $\varrho_{\mu,z}$ .

If  $\mu$  is regular, then  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  [FFTL 2010].

**Conjecture [FFTL 2010].**  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

# Symmetrized minors and permanents

## Symmetrized minors and permanents

For an  $N \times N$  matrix  $M$  over an algebra,  
the **symmetrized minor** of  $M$  is

## Symmetrized minors and permanents

For an  $N \times N$  matrix  $M$  over an algebra,

the **symmetrized minor** of  $M$  is

$$\text{Det}_m(M) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^N \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot M_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_1} \cdots M_{i_{\sigma(m)} i_m}.$$

# Symmetrized minors and permanents

For an  $N \times N$  matrix  $M$  over an algebra,

the **symmetrized minor** of  $M$  is

$$\text{Det}_m(M) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^N \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot M_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_1} \cdots M_{i_{\sigma(m)} i_m}.$$

The **symmetrized permanent** of  $M$  is

$$\text{Per}_m(M) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^N \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} M_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_1} \cdots M_{i_{\sigma(m)} i_m}.$$

Type A

## Type A

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  we set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and recall the matrix

## Type A

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  we set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and recall the matrix

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \cdots & \mu_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{N1} & \cdots & \mu_{NN} \end{bmatrix}.$$



## Type A

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  we set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and recall the matrix

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \cdots & \mu_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{N1} & \cdots & \mu_{NN} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Theorem [V. Futorny and M. 2015].** The subalgebra

$\mathcal{A}_\mu \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$  is generated by the coefficients of each family of polynomials

## Type A

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*$  we set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(E_{ij})$  and recall the matrix

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \cdots & \mu_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{N1} & \cdots & \mu_{NN} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Theorem [V. Futorny and M. 2015].** The subalgebra

$\mathcal{A}_\mu \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$  is generated by the coefficients of each family of polynomials

$$\text{Det}_m(E + t\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Per}_m(E + t\mu), \quad m \geq 1.$$

If  $\mu$  is regular, then the coefficients of each family of polynomials

$$\text{Det}_m(E + t\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Per}_m(E + t\mu), \quad m = 1, \dots, N,$$

are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

If  $\mu$  is regular, then the coefficients of each family of polynomials

$$\text{Det}_m(E + t\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Per}_m(E + t\mu), \quad m = 1, \dots, N,$$

are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

Moreover, the FFTL-conjecture holds in type  $A$ :

$$\text{gr } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^*.$$

Types *B*, *C*, *D*

## Types $B, C, D$

Now let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$  or  $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ .

## Types $B, C, D$

Now let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$  or  $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ .

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(F_{ij})$  and consider the matrix

## Types $B, C, D$

Now let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$  or  $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ .

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  set  $\mu_{ij} = \mu(F_{ij})$  and consider the matrix

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \cdots & \mu_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{N1} & \cdots & \mu_{NN} \end{bmatrix}.$$



Theorem [O. Yakimova and M. 2017].

Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is regular.

Theorem [O. Yakimova and M. 2017].

Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is regular.

- ▶ The coefficients of the polynomials  $\text{Det}_m(F + t\mu)$  with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$  are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ .

Theorem [O. Yakimova and M. 2017].

Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is regular.

- ▶ The coefficients of the polynomials  $\text{Det}_m(F + t\mu)$  with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$  are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ .
- ▶ The coefficients of the polynomials  $\text{Per}_m(F + t\mu)$  with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$  are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ .

- ▶ The coefficients of the polynomials  $\text{Pf}(F + t\mu)$  and  $\text{Per}_m(F + t\mu)$  with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2$  are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ .

- ▶ The coefficients of the polynomials  $\text{Pf}(F + t\mu)$  and  $\text{Per}_m(F + t\mu)$  with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2$  are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ .
  
- ▶ Moreover, the FFTL-conjecture holds in type  $C$ :

- ▶ The coefficients of the polynomials  $\text{Pf}(F + t\mu)$  and  $\text{Per}_m(F + t\mu)$  with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2$  are free generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ .

- ▶ Moreover, the FFTL-conjecture holds in type  $C$ :

$$\text{gr } \mathcal{A}_\mu = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

# Quasi-derivations

## Quasi-derivations

The Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by the  $\mu$ -shifts  $P_{(i)}$  associated with all invariants  $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ :



## Quasi-derivations

The Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by the  $\mu$ -shifts  $P_{(i)}$  associated with all invariants  $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + t\mu(X_1), \dots, X_l + t\mu(X_l)) \\ = P_{(0)} + P_{(1)}t + \dots + P_{(d)}t^d. \end{aligned}$$

## Quasi-derivations

The Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by the  $\mu$ -shifts  $P_{(i)}$  associated with all invariants  $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + t\mu(X_1), \dots, X_l + t\mu(X_l)) \\ = P_{(0)} + P_{(1)}t + \dots + P_{(d)}t^d. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients are the images of the **directional derivatives**

$$\overline{D}_\mu : S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}),$$

## Quasi-derivations

The Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by the  $\mu$ -shifts  $P_{(i)}$  associated with all invariants  $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + t\mu(X_1), \dots, X_l + t\mu(X_l)) \\ = P_{(0)} + P_{(1)}t + \dots + P_{(d)}t^d. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients are the images of the **directional derivatives**

$$\overline{D}_\mu : S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}),$$

$$P_{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} \overline{D}_\mu^k P.$$

Another version of Vinberg's problem:

## Another version of Vinberg's problem:

Can we quantize the directional derivatives  $\bar{D}_\mu$  to get certain linear operators

## Another version of Vinberg's problem:

Can we quantize the directional derivatives  $\bar{D}_\mu$  to get certain linear operators

$$D_\mu : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$$

## Another version of Vinberg's problem:

Can we quantize the directional derivatives  $\bar{D}_\mu$  to get certain linear operators

$$D_\mu : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$$

such that iterative applications of  $D_\mu$  to elements of the center  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  yield elements of the quantum Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ ?

Type A



## Type A

The quasi-derivations

$$\partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$$

quantize the partial derivations  $\partial/\partial E_{ji}$  on  $S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ .

# Type A

The quasi-derivations

$$\partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$$

quantize the partial derivations  $\partial/\partial E_{ji}$  on  $S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ .

The action of  $\partial_{ij}$  is determined by the properties

$$\partial_{ij}(1) = 0, \quad \partial_{ij} E_{kl} = \delta_{kj} \delta_{il},$$

# Type A

The **quasi-derivations**

$$\partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$$

quantize the partial derivations  $\partial/\partial E_{ji}$  on  $S(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ .

The action of  $\partial_{ij}$  is determined by the properties

$$\partial_{ij}(1) = 0, \quad \partial_{ij} E_{kl} = \delta_{kj} \delta_{il},$$

and the **quantum Leibniz rule**

$$\partial_{ij}(fg) = (\partial_{ij}f)g + f(\partial_{ij}g) - \sum_{k=1}^N (\partial_{ik}f)(\partial_{kj}g).$$

Origins:

[S. Meljanac and Z. Škoda 2007,

D. Gurevich, P. Pyatov and P. Saponov 2012].

Origins:

[S. Meljanac and Z. Škoda 2007,

D. Gurevich, P. Pyatov and P. Saponov 2012].

Set  $D = [\partial_{ij}]$  and  $D_\mu = \text{tr } \mu D$ .

Origins:

[S. Meljanac and Z. Škoda 2007,  
D. Gurevich, P. Pyatov and P. Saponov 2012].

Set  $D = [\partial_{ij}]$  and  $D_\mu = \text{tr } \mu D$ .

Theorem [Y. Ikeda and G. Sharygin 2023].

Origins:

[S. Meljanac and Z. Škoda 2007,  
D. Gurevich, P. Pyatov and P. Saponov 2012].

Set  $D = [\partial_{ij}]$  and  $D_\mu = \text{tr } \mu D$ .

Theorem [Y. Ikeda and G. Sharygin 2023].

For any element  $z \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$  and all natural powers  $p$ , the elements  $D_\mu^p z$  belong to the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  of  $\mathbb{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ .

Types *B*, *C*, *D*



## Types $B, C, D$

Define the quasi-derivations

$$\partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{o}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_N) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$$

by restriction from  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ .

## Types $B, C, D$

Define the quasi-derivations

$$\partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{o}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_N) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$$

by restriction from  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ . For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $D = [\partial_{ij}]$  set

$$D_\mu = \text{tr } \mu D.$$

## Types $B, C, D$

Define the quasi-derivations

$$\partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{o}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_N) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{ij} : U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$$

by restriction from  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ . For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $D = [\partial_{ij}]$  set

$$D_\mu = \text{tr } \mu D.$$

Theorem [Y. Ikeda, M. and G. Sharygin 2023].

The elements  $D_\mu^p \text{Det}_m(F)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$ , generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  in type  $C$ .

The elements  $D_\mu^p \text{Per}_m(F)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$  generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  in type  $B$ .

The elements  $D_\mu^p \text{Per}_m(F)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$  generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  in type  $B$ .

The elements  $D_\mu^p \text{Per}_m(F)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2$ , together with  $D_\mu^p \text{Pf} F$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  in type  $D$ .

The elements  $D_\mu^p \text{Per}_m(F)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$  generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  in type  $B$ .

The elements  $D_\mu^p \text{Per}_m(F)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , with  $m = 2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2$ , together with  $D_\mu^p \text{Pf} F$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  in type  $D$ .

Moreover, in all cases, if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is regular, then each family is algebraically independent.