MATH1015: BIOSTATISTICS – SEMESTER 1, 2015 (Week 4)

TUTORIAL DAY, TIME & ROOM:

This semester we are collecting informal feedback about your experiences of learning in tutorials. The information you provide will help us to make improvements to the subject.

Please take only 1 MINUTE to provide a response to the three questions below

- 1. How many tutorials have you attended so far this semester? 1, 2, 3 (circle one)
- 2. Do like these weekly tutorial quizzes worth 3% each? Yes/No (circle one)
- 3. These weekly online quizzes help me to learn effectively. Yes/No (circle one)
- 4. What activities in the tutorial help you to learn MATH1015?
- 5. What's one thing you would change about this tutorial to help you learn more effectively?

Later in the semester, we will provide a response to the feedback you have provided. Thanks for your time.

Associate Professor Shelton Peiris Coordinator, MATH1015

Responses: We (myself and Dr Tai Peseta at ITL) are in the process of analysing these results. There were over 600 responses.

More than 80% have answered 'yes' in Q2. More than 90% have answered 'yes' in Q3.



Unit of Study Evaluation (Science)

Institute & Teaching & Learning

Student Feedback Report

	H1015 tatistics				Sen	nester	1, 2013
	ton Peiris ool of Mathematics & S nce	tatistics				nrolled	ı = 155 l = 624 ı = 25%
					n	mean	std dev
Q1 (CGS)	The learning outcomes ar	nd expected standa	ards of this unit of	study were clear	146	3.57	1.00
,	% responses per rating:	17%	19%	64%			
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q2	The teaching in this unit o	f study helped me	to learn effective	у	150	3.34	1.11
(GTS)		23%	23%	54%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q3	This unit of study helped i	me develop valuab	le graduate attrib	utes	144	3.34	0.86
(GSS)		14%	42%	44%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q4	I was motivated to engage	with the learning	activities in this u	nit of study 34%	149	2.91	1.03
	% responses per rating:						
		SD+D	N	A+SA			***************************************
Q5 (AAS)	The assessment in this ur understood	,			149	3.43	0.98
	% responses per rating:	16%	27%	57%			
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q6	I can see the relevance of	this unit of study t	o my degree	67%	150	3.63	1.09
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
 Q7	It was clear to me that the				151	2.55	0.02
Ų١	It was clear to me that the feedback				151	3.55	0.83
	% responses per rating:	6%	41%	53%			
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q8	Feedback from assessme	nt was useful in he	elping me to learn	33%	144	3.06	0.92
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
 Q9	The lecturer (or lecturers)				149	3.46	1.25
Q3		26%	11%	64%	143	3.40	1.23
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q10	Tutorial classes and/or lab				146	3.59	1.12
	% responses per rating:	18%	18%	64%			
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q11	The on-line resources sup learn	porting this unit of	study were usefu	Il in helping me to	144	3.63	0.93
	% responses per rating:	8%	33%	59%			
Personal Control of Co		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q12 (OSI)	Overall I was satisfied with	n the quality of this	unit of study		144	3.41	1.04
(001)	0/ roong	19%	22%	59%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			



Unit of Study Evaluation (Science)

Institute - Teaching - Learning

Student Feedback Report

	Г3013 istical Inference				Ser	mester	2, 2011
	ton Peiris ool of Mathematics & S nce	statistics				rolled :	= 22 = 22 = 100%
					n	mean	std dev
Q1	The learning outcomes ar	nd expected stand	dards of this unit o	f study were clear	21	3.76	0.81
(CGS)	to me	5%	33%	62%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q2	The teaching in this unit o	f study helped me	e to learn effective	·lv	22	3.50	1.08
(GTS)	J	27%	14%	59%			
	% responses per rating:						
		SD+D	N	A+SA		***************************************	
Q3 (GSS)	This unit of study helped Research & inquiry skills; autonomy; 4) Ethical, soc	2) Communication	n skills; 3) Person	al & intellectual	21	3.38	0.90
	literacy]	14%	48%	38%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q4	I was motivated to engage	e with the learning	g activities in this t	unit of study 45%	20	3.30	0.95
	% responses per rating:	5.7					
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q5 (AAS)	The assessment in this ununderstood	nit of study allowe	d me to demonstr	ate what I had	21	3.52	1.05
	% responses per rating:	14%	33%	52%			
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q6	I can see the relevance o	0%	to my degree	80%	20	4.30	0.78
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q7	It was clear to me that the feedback				19	3.89	0.64
	% responses per rating:	0%	26%	74%			
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q8	Feedback from assessme	nt was useful in h	nelping me to learr	70%	20	4.00	0.77
	% responses per rating:						
		SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q9	The lecturer (or lecturers)	explained the ma	aterial well 30%	55%	20	3.65	1.01
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q10	Tutorial classes and/or lat	oratory classes v			20	4.05	1.02
	% responses per rating:	10%	20%	70%		1.00	2.02
	is responses per ruing.	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q11	The computer-based reso	urces supporting	this unit of study v	vere useful in	20	3.55	0.86
	helping me to learn	5%	55%	40%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
 Q12	Overall I was satisfied with	n the quality of thi	s unit of study		19	3.68	0.92
OSI)		11%	32%	58%	20	5.50	3.32
	% responses per rating:	32.25					
		SD+D	N	A+SA			

	7	Unit of Stud	y Evaluation (Scie	ence)		∿ Te	stitute aching arning
Stud	dent Feedback Report			N 1107 P. STANSON STAN	***************************************		
	Г3013 stical Inference				Sen	nester :	2, 2009
	ton Peiris ool of Mathematics & S nce	statistics				n nrolled sponse	
					n	mean	std dev
Q1	The learning outcomes ar to me	nd expected sta	andards of this unit of	study were clear	15	3.93	0.68
(03)		0%	27%	73%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q2 GTS)	The teaching in this unit o			у	16	4.13	0.70
	% responses per rating:	0%	19%	81%			
	, , ,	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q3 (GSS)	This unit of study helped in Research & inquiry skills; autonomy; 4) Ethical, sociliteracy]	2) Communica	tion skills; 3) Persona	al & intellectual	15	3.67	0.79
	••	7%	33%	60%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q4	I was motivated to engage	e with the learn	ing activities in this u	nit of study	15	4.00	0.82
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q5	The assessment in this ur	nit of study allo	wed me to demonstra		15	4.00	0.63
(AAS)	understood	0%	20%	80%	10	4.00	0.03
	% responses per rating:						
	***************************************	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q 6	I can see the relevance o	f this unit of stu 0%	dy to my degree 13%	87%	15	4.20	0.65
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q7	It was clear to me that the feedback	staff in this un	it of study were respo		14	4.14	0.64
	· ·	0%	14%	86%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q 8	Feedback from assessme	ent was useful i	n helping me to learn	87%	15	4.07	0.57
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q9	The lecturer (or lecturers)	explained the	material well	80%	15	4.00	0.82
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q10	Tutorial classes and/or lat	ooratory classe	s were worthwhile	67%	15	3.93	1.06
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			
Q11	The computer-based resc helping me to learn	urces supporti	ng this unit of study w	vere useful in	15	3.87	0.72
	% responses per rating:	0% 	33% N	A+SA			
Q12 (OSI)	Overall I was satisfied wit	h the quality of	this unit of study		15	4.13	0.62
Juli	06 rocponers	0%	13%	87%			
	% responses per rating:	SD+D	N	A+SA			

Self Assessment on Teaching

I have now accumulated a wealth of more than twenty-five years of experience in teaching many diverse fields of scientific endeavor including Mathematics, Engineering Mathematics, Statistics, Econometrics, Business Statistics and Actuarial Statistics to both undergraduate and postgraduate students. I have also gained a sound practical knowledge of teaching in many other different countries around the world ranging through Canada, USA, Thailand, Malaysia and Sri Lanka. This broad experience gives me an extensive repertoire of teaching methods and the ability to communicate and to motivate statistics students at all levels of the university education. This provides me a strong foundation to perform my teaching and related duties at an outstanding, professorial level appropriate to this university and to maintains its 'high standard' and 'reputation' as a leading education institution in Australia.

• Teaching performance

It is accepted that effective teaching begins with planning. This should be done in advance with considerable flexibility, given that students from year to year may not have the same degree of understanding. It is a core component of teaching activities, combining problem-solving and decision making in a manner that forces one to reflect on fundamental questions: What are the objectives of this particular course? What is the most effective way of accomplishing those objectives? How do I determine whether or not the objectives have been reached? This can be done via self-evaluation and by obtaining feedback from students. Thus 'effective teaching' is an ongoing process, a continual cycle of planning, assessment, and revision.

According to my own analysis based on past experience, I improve the quality and efficiency of teaching and learning via:

- Help students towards the development of confidence in the subject. This means students will be able to look for original approaches to new and unfamiliar problems,
- Encourage students to express their ideas clearly, both in oral or written fashion;
- Show the usefulness of this subject to their career;
- Show the usefulness of this subject in the modern world;
- Show the relevance of modern techniques (i.e. internet, computers).

I am meticulous in my organization and preparation of teaching material. From regular surveys, students appreciate my dedication, enthusiasm in designing notes, tutorials, quizzes and assignments. In lectures, I strive to maintain a high level of generic and intellectual content whilst incorporating specific examples. I make extensive use of WWW facilities to improve the quality of teaching. I always encourage students to refer to relevant web pages where possible. In all the courses that I have taught at the University of Sydney my lectures have been supplemented by tutorials, computer classes (where appropriate) and weekly consultations. Tutorial questions are linked to learning objectives which are clearly stated and conveyed to students as early as possible. Quizzes are designed not with the primary aim of failing but rather promoting students. Assignments will ask students to apply and extend the content of the course and to integrate with other class materials and identify significant issues. End of semester examinations will not only gauge knowledge of facts but will test the creative problem solving skills of students.

In the first year unit of study, MATH 1015 (Biostatistics) there are (about) 700 students and 25 tutorial groups in 2015. I take the fir tutorial classe in each week to monitor the student reactions and progress. I regularly visit all other tutorial classes and communicate with other tutors to assess the students' learning abilities and to maintain a uniform quality of all students in teaching and learning.

Attached comments and survey results from students confirm the effectiveness of my approach to teaching and learning.

Research-led teaching

When I first joined there was a demand for a suitable course in Advanced Time Series Analysis and Forecasting for both honours and graduate level students. As this is my main research area, I designed the course to satisfy both the theoretical and applied aspects of statistics and developed the 'Advanced Time Series Analysis' course. In recent years, I introduced a number of new topics into the 'Advanced Time Series Analysis' course based on my research interests. Four new topics are: Analysis of Long Memory Time Series, Multiple Time Series, State Space Models and Financial Time Series Models. My set of notes is a blend of theory and practice and of academic pragmatic analysis.

In teaching, I use stimulating and easy to understand materials consisting of textbooks and reading material. My notes are of publishable quality and access by students via the website. Honours students use this as a quick reference for their research work on Time Series Analysis. So far I have supervised 21 students (6 PhD, 4 MSc, 3 MA and 8 Honours). Most of them have subsequently published papers based on their research in reputable journals.

Student-focused teaching

A hallmark of memorable teaching is to make the students aware of both the structure and the details of a subject. I am concerned about student learning and course structure in a manner which provides a bridge between 'knowing things' and 'understanding them'. I believe that the goals of education should be included to students to form a rich understanding environment. In large class teaching I provide copies of summary lecture notes with blanks to be filled during each lecture. Students fill gaps on printed notes during each lecture and actively engage in learning while I have eye contacts with all students. These notes provide a substitute and better explanation of the textbook or reference material in a simplified form. I talk around the ideas developed more formally in the notes and include both anecdotal and new information.

I follow the steps below as they can serve to facilitate high quality learning:

- Attempt to present lectures and tutorials in a clear and lively way.
- Form a friendly environment.
- Encourage students to discuss their difficulties with me.
- Publish handouts, exercise sheets and assignments on the website as advertised at the beginning of the semester.

I regularly keep two hours free each week for consultations with undergraduate students and aim to spend at least two hours each week with each postgraduate student I supervise.

I find teaching very stimulating and rewarding. I believe that 'enthusiastic teachers' always learn more than their students. I owe a great debt to all my colleagues at the University of Sydney for their continued support from the first day I joined and to the many, many students both here and overseas, to whose education I have contributed. My success to teaching has been by maintaining the philosophy that focuses on ensuring students not just learn the "hows" of a technique, but more importantly, by asking them to appreciate the "why's", thereby encouraging them to gain a deeper understanding of the topics being taught. Such an

approach has seen me extensively involved with more specialized mathematics/statistics units where such an approach to teaching is taken.

Scholarship in teaching

I have developed an approach for first year teaching based on case studies. That is in each topic I motivate students by discussing potential applications and then move to a simple theoretic approach. I have published four papers to teaching arguing the importance on this approach and to inform other educators. Please see the list of publications and student comments for details.

In 2000, the University of Western Sydney (UWS) invited me to present a paper at a meeting to improve teaching and research methodology in Statistics. I was the sole invited representative from the University of Sydney for this meeting which included participants from UNSW and Macquarie University. I presented a poster at the Scholarly Inquiry in Flexible Science Teaching & Learning conference at Sydney University (April 4, 2002) and also gave a short talk. In addition, I was given the chance to talk about 'Teaching Statistics' at a meeting organized by the Institute of Teaching and Learning at Pennsylvania State University in 2002 during my SSP leave.

In 2008, I compiled a *Custom Publication* (CENGAGE Learning, ISBN 978-0-17-018270-6) based on *Fundamentals of Biostatistics* by B.Rosner to be used in 2009 for the MATH1015 (Biostatistics) course. This publication consists of additional material for students, GenStat and R computing details, statistical table and weekly problem sheets. Now I am in the process of writing my own book with support from CENGAGE Learning. The importance of this work is evident from recent invitation to visit and conduct a biostatistics course in 2009 at Lund University, Sweden

• Leadership in teaching

In early 1990s students in Mathematical Statistics used the SPIDA (Statistical Package for Interactive Data Analysis) though this version did not contain a part with ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) time series modeling. This obviously created a significant difficulty for both staff and students involved with the teaching and learning of Time Series Analysis at 3rd year level. On the other hand there was an increasing demand from all senior statistics students to solve this problem. I was given the opportunity to rectify this matter. I had a number of discussions with the team responsible for SPIDA development at Macquarie University in order to develop the time series modeling section of the package. In 1993, the Statistical Laboratory at Macquarie University released a new version with sufficient facilities at the level required to support our Time Series module and we started using it from 1994. This way my actions helped to optimized the available resources within the school and improved the quality of teaching and learning.

I was a member of the committee for organizing new biostatistics course and developed all new material. Currently I am the coordinator for MATH1015 Biostatistics unit.

Dr John RAYNER

BA Hons, MA (Sydney, Australia), PhD (Wollongong, Australia)

Professor of Statistics

Faculty of Science and Information Technology The University of Newcastle Callaghan, NSW 2308 Australia

Phone: +61 2 4921 5737 Fax: +61 2 4921 6898 Email: John.Rayner@newcastle.edu.au

April 4 2006

To Whom It May Concern

I was President of the NSW Branch of the Australian Statistical Society during 2003 and 2004. A significant activity for the Branch was the running of the Annual Postgraduate Student Awards. In this event top research students from NSW universities present their work to an audience of statisticians from academia, government and business. Prospective employers also attend, no doubt identifying the very best young statistical talent.

During the time of my presidency Shelton ran the Awards event, and he did this most effectively. The event has become the highlight of our annual activities, showcasing the best of our young talent, and also what an effective professional society can do. This is due in no small part to Shelton's superb organization and acumen. For no only did he organize the event, he had the insight to initiate sponsorship program whereby sponsorship covers the cost of the awards and most of the running of the event. So rather than being a drain on the branch's resources, this event has helped turn our finances around so that the NSW Branch went from being the state with the highest subscription to that with the lowest. Effectively NSW has come from being the *least* efficiently run branch to the *most* efficiently run. Not surprisingly, Shelton was Treasurer of the Branch during the critical period, from March 2003 to March 2005.

I have the utmost regard for Shelton as an academic and as a servant of our profession.

Kindest regards

John Rayner.







云南大学数学与统计学院 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

2. Cuihu Beilu Kunming P.R.China 650091

Name: Prof. Mahatelge Shelton Peiris

Date of Birth: 24.11.1951

Gender: Male

Passport Number: N7100776

Nationality: Australian

Job: Academic Staff Member

Work Address: School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sydney,

NSW 2006, Australia.

Dates of Visit: 28.06.2015 to 12.07.2015

Dear Professor Peiris,

On behalf of the conference organizers, I would like to invite you to participate the "2015 Institute IMS-China International Conference on Statistics and Probability" to be held at Yunnan University in Kunming in 1-4 July 2015, and to present an invited talk in the conference. The estimated number of participants is more than 400. Further information on the conference can be found at http://www.2015imschina.com/

While your participation is highly appreciated, we regret for unable to provide any assistance to defray the expenses incurred. You will need to take care of your travel and accommodation yourself.

Please also let us know if we can provide further helps in arranging the logistics for your visit.

We look forward to meeting you in Kunming in July.

Yours sincerel

Niansheng √ang

Chair, Local Organizing Committee of 2015 IMS-China

Dean and Professor 1 子元

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Yunnan University, Kunming 6

Email:yndxshutong@ynu.edu.cn

电话: (TEL)0871-5033700 传真: (FAX)0871-5033700

Subject: Invitation to 9th ITCS

From: CUSTAT-CSA 9th Triennial <caltri9@gmail.com>

Date: 04/20/15 19:28

To: <shelton.peiris@sydney.edu.au>

Dear Professor,

I wish you are aware that since 1991 the Department of Statistics, University of Calcutta and the Calcutta Statistical Association jointly organize an international symposium on Probability and Statistics triennially. The symposium provides a common platform to researchers, working in both the theoretical and applied aspects of Probability and Statistics, to discuss new ideas and methodologies, and share their research findings. The last eight symposia have been well attended by researchers from different parts of the world, including Professors C.R. Rao, D.R. Cox, (Late) A. Zellner, Amartya Sen, Wayne Fuller, P.K. Sen, J.K. Ghosh, Peter Müller, Pranab bardhan, Terry Speed, Peter Hall, to name a few.

The Ninth International Triennial Calcutta Symposium is slated to be held during December 28-31, 2015. Prof. Sheldon M. Ross, University of Southern California, USA will deliver the keynote address, and Prof. Sabina Alkire, Oxford University will give the S.K. Chakravorti Memorial lecture.

On behalf of the Organizing Committee, I invite you to participate in the symposium and give an *invited talk* in the area of your expertise. I look forward to an early reply from you, hopefully in the affirmative.

The details of the symposium will be available in the website of Calcutta Statistical Association (www.calcuttastatisticalassociation.org) in due time.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Manisha Pal

(Convenor)

П

Ι'n

Feedback on Curriculum and Teaching Institute for Teaching and Learning The University of Sydney

Unit of Study Name: STAT 2012

Unit of Study Code: STAT 2012

Prof Weber Dr. PEIRIS Staff Member:

Dept, Faculty:

Survey:

1 The content specified for this course or section was covered.

%	z	Responses	ıses		
30.8 53.8 15.4 0.0	8 L 4 0 0	©€80€	Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing		0% Mean = 4.2	50% 100% Standard Deviation = 0.662

You were helped to understand why the topics were relevant.

%	z	Responses	ıses			
19.2 65.4 11.5 3.8 0.0	0 + 3 + 5	£666£	Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree			
	-	Missing		%0	50%	100%
				_	Mean = 4.0 Standard Deviation = 0.679	629

3 New topics were linked to topics already covered.

%	z	Responses	ses		
30.8 50.0 19.2 0.0	8 13 0 0	1 2 3 4 5 1	Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree		
	-	Missing		0% Mean = 4.1	50% 100% Standard Deviation = 0.698

New material was introduced at a rate which allowed you to understand it.

%	z	Responses	ıses			
23.1	9	2)	Strongly Agree			
61.5	16	4	Agree			
11.5	က	3)	Neutral			
3.8	-	5	Disagree	2000		
0.0	0	. C	Strongly Disagree			
	-	Missing		%0	20%	100%
		,		Me	Mean = 4.0 Standard	Standard Daviation = 0.706

The topics were organised in a way which helped you to learn.

%	z	Responses	Ises		
26.9	7	5)	Strongly Agree		
65.4	17	4	Agree		e de la companya de
7.7	7	3	Neutral		
0.0	0	2)	Disagree		
0.0	0	. C	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing	0	%0	50% 100%
		1		Mean = 4.2	Standard Deviation = 0.556

Each topic was dealt with sufficiently. 9

% N Responses	.0 6 5) Strongly Agree	12 4)	3)	.0 1 2) Disagree	.0 0 1) Strongly Disagree	2 Missing 0% 50% 100%	Mean = 3.9 Standard Deviation = 0.796
%	24.0	48.0	24.0	4.0	0:0		

The lecturer was concerned that students learnt effectively in this class.

%	z	Responses	ses		
38.5	10	5)	Strongly Agree	作业的 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
53.8	4	4	Agree		
7.7	7	3)	Neutral	组	
0.0	0	2)	Disagree		
0.0	0	€	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing	0	%0	50% 100%
		•		Mean = 4.3	Standard Deviation = 0.606

You felt comfortable asking questions in this class.

%	z	Responses	ıses		
30.8 46.2 23.1 0.0	8 12 0 0	©€®6€	Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree		
	-	Missing	0)% Mean = 4.1	50% 100% Standard Deviation = 0.730

Ц

In

9 The lecturer answered your questions in a way which helped you to learn.

%	z	Responses	ses		
23.1	9	2)	Strongly Agree		
46.2	12	4	Agree		
30.8	œ	· (c)	Neutral		ı
0.0	0	5	Disagree		
0.0	0	. (-	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing		%0	50% 100%
				Mean = 3.9	Standard Deviation = 0.730

10 The lecturer was available for consultation.

%	z	Responses	ıses		
34.6 53.8 11.5 0.0	9 4 0 0	5) 3) 1)	Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing		%0	50% 100%
				Mean = 4.2	Standard Deviation = 0.639

11 The lecturer treated students fairly and impartially.

%	z	Responses	ıses		
42.3	=	5)	Strongly Agree		
53.8	4	4	Agree		
3.8	-	· (c)	Neutral		
0.0	0	· 67	Disagree		
0.0	0	.5	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing	%0		50% 100%
				Mean = 4.4	Standard Deviation = 0.560

12 The lecturer respected students and responded to student needs.

ses	Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree	0% 50% 100% Mean = 4.3 Standard Deviation = 0.551
Responses	5) Strongly Agg 4) Agg 3) Neu 2) Disagg 1) Strongly Disagg	Missing
z	1000	_
%	38.5 57.7 3.8 0.0	

13 You were provided with useful feedback on your learning.

%	z	Responses	ses		
23.1	9	5)	Strongly Agree		
42.3	=	4	Agree		
34.6	တ	· (c)	Neutral		
0.0	0	5	Disagree		
0.0	0	. C	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing	0	%0	50% 100%
		•		Mean = 3.9	Standard Deviation = 0.751

14 The lecturer used overheads and videos etc in a way which helped you to learn.

%	z	Kesponses	ıses		
38.5	5	5)	Strongly Agree		
42.3	=	4	Agree		
19.2	2	3	Neutral		
0.0	0	2)	Disagree		
0.0	0	.5	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing	0	%0	50% 100%
		,		Mean = 4.2	Standard Deviation = 0.735

15 The lecturer explained things well.

%	z	Responses	Ises		
36.0	6	5)	Strongly Agree		
40.0	9	4	Agree		
20.0	2	<u>(</u> 6	Neutral		
4.0	-	5	Disagree	Treat	
0.0	0	.£	Strongly Disagree		
	2	Missing		%0	50% 100%
		•		Mean = 4.1	Standard Deviation = 0.845

16 The lecturer's speech was easy to understand.

%	z	Responses	ses		
19.2	2	2)	Strongly Agree	· San	
61.5	16	4	Agree		
19.2	വ	3	Neutral		
0.0	0	5	Disagree		
0.0	0	.	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing		%0	50% 100%
)		Mean = 4.0	Standard Deviation = 0.620

17 These classes were interesting.

%	z	Responses	ses			
16.7	4	5)	Strongly Agree			
50.0	12	. 4	Agree			
25.0	9	· (c)	Neutral			
4.2	τ-	· 6	Disagree	(DO)		
4.2	-	. C	Strongly Disagree			
	8	Missing		%0	20%	100%
		•		Mean = 3.7	Standard Deviation = 0.934	0.934

18 It was difficult to take notes in this class.

%	z	Responses	ses		
4.0	-	5)	Strongly Agree	Tama	
12.0	က	4	Agree		
20.0	S	3)	Neutral		
32.0	œ	5)	Disagree		
32.0	œ	÷	Strongly Disagree		
	2	Missing		%0	50% 100%
)		Mean = 2.2	Standard Deviation = 1.141

1/12/2005

19 The lecturer encouraged students to understand the material covered.

%	z	Responses	ses	MALINE	
23.1	٥	<u>ئ</u>	Strongly Agree		
65.4	17	4	Agree		
11.5	က	œ	Neutral		
0.0	0	5)	Disagree		
0.0	0	1)	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing		%0	50% 100%
				Mean = 4.1	Standard Deviation = 0.577

20 The lecturer took account in her/his teaching of what you already knew.

	%	z	Responses	ses		
i	15.4	4	5)	Strongly Agree		
	46.2	12	4	Agree	2000年	
	30.8	œ	<u>(</u> 6	Neutral		
	3.8	-	5)	Disagree	460	
	3.8	-	. £	Strongly Disagree		
i		-	Missing		%0	50% 100%
			•		Mean = 3.7	Standard Deviation = 0.917

21 The lecturer set tasks and assignments that contributed to your learning.

%	z	Responses	ses		
26.9	7	2)	Strongly Agree		
61.5	16	4	Agree		() () () () () () () () () ()
11.5	ო	<u>e</u>	Neutral		
0.0	0	5	Disagree		
0.0	0	£	Strongly Disagree		
	-	Missing		%0	50% 100%
				Mean = 4.2	Standard Deviation = 0.601

22 The lecturer encouraged students to critically appraise taught material.

Standard Deviation = 0.878	Mean = 3.8					
50% 100%	%0	60	Missing	-		
		Strongly Disagree	1)	0	0.0	
		Disagree	2)	7	7.7	
		Neutral	9	7	26.9	
		Agree	4	7	42.3	
		Strongly Agree	5)	9	23.1	
		Ises	Responses	z	%	

Subject: time is up Date: 29 Nov 2001 04:26:53 -0000

From: daniel8@persson.tc

To: shelton@maths.usyd.edu.au

It's Daniel from time series! It's time for me to head home to Sweden and I just wanted you to know that i really enjoyed studying at Sydney uni and staying in Australia, your course were really interesting and I must say that you are a really good teacher. Hope everything is fine, thanks! Hello Shelton!

Get your firstname@lastname email for FREE at http://Nameplanet.com/?su

Student Issues

ing a tailored maths course for engineers neering students on the relevancy of the historic tradition of engineers doing possibly having them do a course part pure and part applied or perhaps formpure mathematics to their degree. Maybe pure mathematics should be reviewed, more relevant to their future studies.

MATHEMATICS STATISTICS II

were clear and related and fantastic while one student thought that Lectures geiven by Shelton Peiris The course content was thought to be "great" and not too difficult for those or through representatives to discuss course structure. The subject got much harder with the honours portion but could mathematically minded. One students felt that the Probability and Estimation Students could see the lecturers directly and Dependence options was 'garbage be handled with the lecturer's help.

Orientation handlook 1993

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

Shelton Peiris 22S:120:001

Fall 1997

- Shelton always came to class enthusiastic about teaching us. It was very nice to see. He was always available outside of class for help and encouraged us to see him for assistance. Excellent teacher and very polite, friendly, and fair.
 - trees who suffered for such trash. I doubt we'd use it at all if the funds weren't lining the pockets of a staff member. The instructor was very good, but sometimes I think class would have moved along faster if he had expressed more interest in our individual learning of the material. He might also have told us to take back that textbook and purchase one with more Latin letters than Greek (what, real explanations? Unthinkable!). A Tamil textbook would The textbook (Hogg & Tanis) was beyond terrible. It might make a good reference tool for M.S. students but as a foundation-level book it was so bad that I grieve nightly for the poor be easier to understand and I don't speak any Dravidian languages!
- The instructor seemed genuinely interested in teaching the course as well as how the students were doing and helping them with any problems that they may have.
- I thought the instructor was great. He made the material understandable and he was easy to follow. The last calculus teacher I had was boring and impossible to follow, but I didn't have that problem with professor Peiris.
- Provided many examples to help students to understand course material. Most were in addition to textbook examples. Helped the student to understand the material instead of only memorizing formulas.
- It was nice to see a teacher really care how well his students did. I liked how he challenged us but informed us well how he was going to challenge us.

Shelton Peiris Fall 1997 22S:150

ſ

- description which said computing packages and applications of the material would be The main problem that I have with this class was that it does not follow the course included. I have not found that to be true. That is frustrating when descriptions don't agree.
 - The book was poor and there was a fair amount of matrix experience necessary for progress He taught well and helped us a lot in this course. Excellent teacher.
- Very useful course in getting ready for SOA 120. Didn't have to put in much time outside of class to prepare for it. Dr. Peiris was very concerned about us being ready for the exam. through the basic material.
- 319/335-0712 FAX 319/335-3017